

Todd M. Schneider (SBN 158253)
Matthew S. Weiler (SBN 236052)
Sunny S. Sarkis (SBN 258073)
SCHNEIDER WALLACE
COTTRELL KONECKY LLP
2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400
Emeryville, CA 94608
Telephone: (415) 421-7100
TSchneider@schneiderwallace.com
MWeiler@schneiderwallace.com
SSarkis@schneiderwallace.com

Jason H. Kim (SBN 220279)
SCHNEIDER WALLACE
COTTRELL KONECKY LLP
300 S. Grand Ave., Suite 2700
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (415) 421-7100
JKim@schneiderwallace.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARK YOUNG, on behalf of himself and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

SOLANA LABS, INC.;
THE SOLANA FOUNDATION;
ANATOLY YAKOVENKO; MULTICOIN
CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LLC; KYLE
SAMANI,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:22-cv-03912-RFL

CLASS ACTION

**DECLARATION OF MATTHEW S. WEILER
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO ALIGN
BRIEFING SCHEDULES**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 I, Matthew S. Weiler, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney at law, duly licensed to practice before all the courts of the state of
3 California. I am a partner at the law firm of Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky, LLP, attorneys of
4 record for Plaintiff Mark S. Young, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated ("Plaintiff"),
5 in this case. Unless otherwise stated on information and belief, I have personal knowledge of the facts
6 and matters stated herein, and could and would competently testify thereto if called upon to do so. I
7 make this declaration in support of Plaintiff's Unopposed Administrative Motion to Align Briefing
8 Schedule.

9 2. Our team did not realize the briefing schedules for the Motions to Dismiss and Motion
10 to Compel were different until April 29, 2024, when we reviewed the docket to confirm the briefing
11 deadlines for the Motion to Compel Arbitration and Motions to Dismiss.

12 3. The same day, on April 29, 2024, our team immediately contacted Defendants upon
13 learning of the inconsistency and requested that they confirm the briefing schedules were aligned.
14 Defendants responded that they do not oppose any request to align the motion to compel briefing with
15 the motion to dismiss briefing.

16 4. Defendants will not be prejudiced by the alignment, as they will receive additional time
17 to file their Reply in support of the Motion to Compel, and the hearing date on the Motion to Compel
18 has always been August 6, 2024.

19 The foregoing facts based on a review of documents are set forth on information and belief.
20 Unless otherwise indicated, I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California,
21 that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge and that I would and could
22 competently testify thereto, if called upon and sworn.

23 Executed this 30th day April, 2024, in Dallas, Texas.

24 By: /s/ Matthew S. Weiler
25 Matthew S. Weiler